



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,296	07/24/2003	Jen-Yuan Chang	HSJ920030116US1	4518	
7590 03/08/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John L. Rogitz			TZENG, FRED		
Rogitz & Assoc	iates			<del> </del>	
Suite 3120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
750 B Street			2651		
San Diego, CA 92101			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,296	CHANG, JEN-YUAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fred Tzeng	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent the statutory minimum of thirty (od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	1 July 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,9,10 and 13 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 3,4,7,8,11,12,14 and 15 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted or b) objecte he drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/2003.</li> </ol>		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/626,296

**Art Unit: 2651** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

## Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hobson et al (USPN 6,456,452), hereafter as Hobson.

RE claims 5, 9 and 13, Hobson discloses a hard disk drive (see figure 1, the disk drive 10), comprising: at least one disk susceptible to RRO error (see column 3 lines 60-61 and column 2 lines 10-23); at least one error correction circuit to cancel RRO error (see column 2 lines 24-35 and column 3 lines 8-22); and at least one input representing algorithmically determined RRO error harmonics based on a number of fasteners used to hold the disk (see column 3 lines 60-67).

RE claims 6 and 10, Hobson discloses that the screws hold the disk to a spindle (see figure 1 and column 3 lines 60-64).

Claims 1, 2 are the method steps associated with the apparatus of claims 5, 6, 9, 10, 13 and therefore are rejected on the same basis as the apparatus claims.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Conclusion**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Fred Tzeng whose telephone number is 703-305-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-308-4825. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-5710 for After Final communications.

8. Informal regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred F. Tzeng til

March 01, 2005

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 4